IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 996 OF 2007

Ambadas Sadashiv Namgude @ Namugade ...Applicant
V/s.
The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr.Shriram Shirsat with Mr.Manohar Kandalkar for the Applicant.
Mr.R.V. More, APP for the State.

CORAM : V.M. KANADE, J.

DATED : APRIL 26, 2007

P.C. :-

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the State.

2. This is a second application for bail filed by the applicant who was arrested in connection with an offence under Sections 498A, 304 Part B, 323, 504 read with Section 34 of the IPC.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has preferred this application in view of the leave granted by this court to apply for bail again if the trial is not over within a period of six months. He submits that this order was passed on 10th February,

2006. He submits that the trial is not yet over and therefore, he has preferred this application.

4. The learned APP appearing on behalf of the State submits that already eight witnesses have been examined and the trial is likely to be over within a short period of time.

5. Under the circumstances, since the trial is likely to be over within a short period, the application for bail is rejected. The Trial Court is directed to decide the case, as expeditiously as possible, within one month from 30th April, 2007. The Trial Court may if possible hear the matter on day to day basis and decide the case accordingly.

6. APP to give a list of witnesses to the applicant as soon as possible.

. Parties to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

(V.M. Kanade, J.)